

Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 871—Chapter 71
“Public Records and Fair Information Practices”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 17A and 84A
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code chapters 17A and 84A

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

July 29, 2025
10:30 a.m.

[Microsoft Teams](#)
Meeting ID: 285 464 093 832
Passcode: 96uy3849
Dial in by phone: +1 469.998.6043
Phone conference ID: 227 405 595#

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) no later than 4:30 p.m. on July 29, 2025. Comments should be directed to:

Brooke Axiotis
Iowa Workforce Development
1000 East Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Phone: 515.802.9245
Email: brooke.axiotis@iwd.iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

The purpose of this proposed rulemaking is to rescind the chapter that informs the public about the process for records requests and the availability of public records in compliance with Iowa Code chapter 22.

Analysis of Impact

1. **Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:**
 - **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**
There are no costs associated with this rulemaking.
 - **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**
This rulemaking eliminates the chapter that provides streamlined information for IWD stakeholders and the general public.
2. **Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:**
 - **Quantitative description of impact:**
There is no quantitative impact.
 - **Qualitative description of impact:**
There is no qualitative impact.
3. **Costs to the State:**

- **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**
There are no implementation or enforcement costs.
 - **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**
There is no anticipated effect on State revenues.
4. **Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:**
Not applicable.
5. **Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:**
Not applicable.
6. **Alternative methods considered by the agency:**
- **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**
Not applicable.
 - **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**
Not applicable.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

This rulemaking does not have a substantial impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **871—Chapter 71**.